

TEAM \_\_\_\_\_

**2024-2025 FORESTRY CHALLENGE CHAMPIONSHIP  
 JUDGE'S SCORE SHEET FOR FOCUS TOPIC PRESENTATION**

Part 1 – Application of data (105 points)					
The team demonstrated a solid understanding of:					
• location, size, and purpose of the SERAL and G to Z Projects	1 None	2 Minimal	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Impressive
• the 5 types of biomass materials resulting from forest restoration activities	1 None	2 Minimal	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Impressive
• the 6 options given for disposal of each type of material, both onsite and using transportation and their pros and cons	1 None	2 Minimal	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Impressive
• current biomass conditions by type in Unit 340	1 None	2 Minimal	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Impressive
The team explained the processes involved, potential for net revenue or loss, and the pros and cons of each type of biomass disposal	3 None	6 Minimal	9 Adequate	12 Good	15 Impressive
The team discussed options they observed during the fieldtrip	2 None	4 Minimal	6 Adequate	8 Good	10 Impressive
The team showed photos they took while on the fieldtrip and related them to the items above	2 None	4 Minimal	6 Adequate	8 Good	10 Impressive
The team recommended the best option for disposal of each type of biomass, the net revenue or loss of each, and the overall revenue or loss for all types combined	3 None	6 Minimal	9 Adequate	12 Good	15 Impressive
The team addressed the barriers for implementing their plan and offered suggestions on how to overcome them	2 None	4 Minimal	6 Adequate	8 Good	10 Impressive
The team had good comprehension of the focus topic and interpretation of key concepts and data	3 None	6 Minimal	9 Adequate	12 Good	15 Impressive
The information piece(s) were based on interviews, observations, & data the team collected, with specific reference sources cited	2 “Don’t know who we talked to”	4 “A forester...”	6 1-2 sources: “John something...”	8 2+ sources: “John Nicoles”	10 2+ sources: Including people and references

TEAM \_\_\_\_\_

**2024-2025 FORESTRY CHALLENGE CHAMPIONSHIP  
JUDGE'S SCORE SHEET FOR FOCUS TOPIC PRESENTATION**

<b>Part 2 – Quality of the presentation (35 points)</b>					
Participants introduced themselves and made eye contact during the entire presentation	1 No introductions	2 Introductions, no eye contact (i.e., reading from slides, not looking at/toward judges)	3 Intros, little eye contact, read from cue cards	4 Introductions, eye contact often, read from cue cards	5 Introductions, eye contact throughout presentation, referenced cue cards
The presentation was well organized, with a clear introduction and a strong conclusion	2 None/Neither	4 Difficult to follow	6 Organized, but lacking intro and conclusion	8 Organized, good intro and conclusion	10 Very clear, easy to understand, followed outline
The PowerPoint presentation visually pleasing	1 Unorganized, small font, few to no graphics	2 Lacking effort in design and/or organization	3 Design could be better	4 Nice design, easy to read	5 Well designed. Very visually pleasing
Judges' questions were answered logically & concisely	3 Could not answer any of judge's questions	6 Incomplete answers	9 Generally answered questions	12 Answered questions thoughtfully and completely	15 Answered all questions clearly and knowledgeably
<b>Part 3 – Required Elements (10 points)</b>					
All team members participated relatively equally in giving the presentation	1 Only one team member participated	2 Mostly one team member participated	3 Most team members participated, unequally	4 Most team members participated equally	5 All team members participated equally
The team made effective use of their time	1 Under 15 minutes - mediocre	2 Under 15 minutes - adequate	3 Under 15 minutes - good	4 15-20 minutes - good	5 15-20 minutes - impressive

Total \_\_\_\_\_