# 2019 SANTA CRUZ FORESTRY CHALLENGE
## JUDGE’S SCORE SHEET FOR FOCUS TOPIC PRESENTATION

## Part 1 – Application of data (60 points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The team demonstrated a solid understanding of:</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The history of forest mgmt. in the Santa Cruz Mountains</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Impressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Basic principles of forest inventory, including sampling and creation of a stand table</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Impressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Silviculture, including even vs uneven aged, and applicable Southern Subdistrict Forest Practice Rules</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Impressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The basic differences between THPs and NTMPs, including preparation, costs and lifetimes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Impressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The presentation included one or more maps &amp;/or photographs of the unit, including the general location &amp; inventory plot locations</td>
<td>No maps or photos</td>
<td>One map, one photo</td>
<td>Two or more maps, two or more photos</td>
<td>Area &amp; plot maps, two or more photos</td>
<td>Two plus maps, two or more photos, can explain &amp; relate to data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The team described their data collection techniques &amp; showed photos of the process</td>
<td>No description or photos</td>
<td>One photo</td>
<td>Two photos</td>
<td>Two or more photos and explanations</td>
<td>Two plus photos and explanation of elements to stand table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The team presented the cruise data, including current volume, growth over the last ten years, and projected growth / harvest</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Impressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The team recommended either an NTMP or THPs moving forward and justified their choice with an economic analysis</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Impressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The team had good comprehension of the focus topic &amp; interpretation of collected data</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Impressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The information piece(s) were based on interviews, observations, &amp; data the team collected, with specific reference sources cited</td>
<td>&quot;Don’t know who we talked to&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;A forester…&quot;</td>
<td>1-2 sources: &quot;John something…”</td>
<td>2+ sources: &quot;John Nicoles”</td>
<td>2+ sources: &quot;John Nicoles, RPF, retired…”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2 – Quality of the presentation (30 points)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants introduced themselves and made eye contact during the entire presentation</td>
<td>1 No introductions</td>
<td>2 Introductions, no eye contact (i.e., reading from slides, not looking at/toward judges)</td>
<td>3 Intros, little eye contact, read from cue cards</td>
<td>4 Introductions, eye contact often, read from cue cards</td>
<td>5 Introductions, eye contact throughout presentation, referenced cue cards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The presentation was well organized, with a clear introduction and a strong conclusion</td>
<td>2 None/Neither</td>
<td>4 Difficult to follow</td>
<td>6 Organized, but lacking intro and conclusion</td>
<td>8 Organized, good intro and conclusion</td>
<td>10 Very clear, easy to understand, followed outline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The PowerPoint presentation visually pleasing</td>
<td>1 Unorganized, small font, little to no graphics</td>
<td>2 Lacking effort in design and/or organization</td>
<td>3 Design could be better</td>
<td>4 Nice design, easy to read</td>
<td>5 Well designed. Very visually pleasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judges’ questions were answered logically &amp; concisely</td>
<td>2 Could not answer any of judge’s questions</td>
<td>4 Incomplete answers</td>
<td>6 Generally answered questions</td>
<td>8 Answered questions thoughtfully and completely</td>
<td>10 Answered all questions clearly and knowledgeably</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 3 – Required Elements (10 points)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All team members participated relatively equally in giving the presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The team made effective use of their time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total _______